

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,158	05/18/2007	Rudy Hengelmolen	1768-150	4201
	7590 12/09/200 FIGG, ERNST & MAI		EXAM	IINER
1425 K STREE		DECK, F.C.	PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	- ,		3738	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/00/2000	EI ECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/588,158	HENGELMOLEN, RUDY
Examiner	Art Unit
CHRISTOPHER D. PRONE	3738

d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.
---

	CHRISTO	PHER D. PRONE	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	ldress
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA  Estensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the commu  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum status  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned painet form adjustment. See 37 GFR 1,704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev ication. tory period will apply and w I, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be ti ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron dication to become ABANDON	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this o ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed     This action is FINAL.     Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	)∏ This action is r r allowance except	for formal matters, pr		e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to I in the control of the control	a) accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) b ne correction is requir	pe held in abeyance. Se red if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority d 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority d 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation. * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been been been been been been the priority documents Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applical ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO-93/00) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	D-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	late	

1) L	Notice of References Cited (P10-892)	
2)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	
31	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB(05)	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

Part of	Paper	No./Mail	Date	20091202

Application/Control Number: 10/588,158 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 12-24, drawn to a tandem pressing device, classified in class 623, subclass 1.15.

 Claims 25-26, drawn to a method of pressing a work, classified in class 606, subclass 108.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the apparatus can be used with a materially different method comprising the use of a balloon catheter in place of a work conveying apparatus.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification:

Art Unit: 3738

(b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter:

- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

Art Unit: 3738

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species 1 shown in figure 11

Species 2 shown in figure 12

Species 3 shown in figure 13

Species 4 shown in figure 14

Species 5 shown in figure 15

Species 6 shown in figure 16

Species 7 shown in figure 19

Species 8 shown in figure 20

Species 9 shown in figure 21

Species 10 shown in figure 22.

Upon election from the above species, applicant is required to elect from the following subspecies:

Art Unit: 3738

Species A shown in figure 23A

Species B shown in figure 23B

Species C shown in figure 23C

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are considered generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3738

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Harry Manbeck on 12/2/09 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER D. PRONE whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3738

(571)272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher D Prone Examiner Art Unit 3738

/Christopher D Prone/

/DAVID ISABELLA/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3774